1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
3	Newport News Division
4	
5	x
6	GAVIN GRIMM, :
7	Plaintiff, :
8	v. : Civil Action No.
9	GLOUCESTER COUNTY : 4:15-cv-00054-AWA-DEM
10	SCHOOL BOARD, :
11	Defendant. :
12	x
13	
14	Deposition of TROY ANDERSEN
15	Glen Allen, Virginia
16	Tuesday, March 12, 2019
17	10:00 a.m.
18	
19	
20	Job No.: 232148
21	Pages: 1 - 98
22	Reported By: Scott D. Gregg, RPR

EXHIBIT H

1	Q So what are the government what are the
2	governmental interests served by the Board's
3	restroom policy?
4	A So that would be entirely focused on the
5	privacy of all students in Gloucester County
6	Public Schools system.
7	Q So privacy is the only governmental
8	interest the Board is relying on; is that correct?
9	A That's what our policy is focused on,
10	privacy of all students in the Gloucester County
11	Public Schools system.
12	Q And is there any other governmental
13	interest that the policy advances?
14	A No.
15	Q Does the policy is the policy designed
16	to serve a governmental interest in student
17	safety?
18	A I would say there's a secondary
19	potentially secondary depending on how you look at
20	it. That's more of a subjective thing that each
21	individual board member may feel differently
22	about. But from a policy perspective, it was

1	our updated attendance policy.
2	Q What is your updated attendance policy?
3	A I mean, that's a long I would have to
4	get out my policy manual, but this changed the
5	number of days a person can be absent before they
6	are not able to pass the class regardless of what
7	their grade is.
8	Q So let's talk about the governmental
9	interest in protecting student privacy.
10	So what are they being protected from? Is
11	it from being seen naked?
12	MR. CORRIGAN: Object to form.
13	Go ahead.
14	THE WITNESS: It's in short, it's to
15	ensure their privacy of not having to share a
16	restroom with someone from an opposite
17	physiological sex.
18	BY MR. BLOCK:
19	Q So it doesn't matter whether or not
20	there's any risk of anyone being in a state of
21	undress; is that right?

1	THE WITNESS: I would say that's a part of
2	it.
3	BY MR. BLOCK:
4	Q Okay. So in terms of protecting their
5	privacy, is it privacy from being seen naked? Is
6	that one of the things the policy is supposed to
7	protect?
8	A Correct.
9	Q And is it privacy from seeing someone else
10	naked? Is that something else that the policy is
11	supposed to protect?
12	A Correct, maintain privacy of all involved.
13	Q Okay. So if everyone is fully clothed at
14	all times and there's no risk of anyone being
15	naked, are there any other privacy interests that
16	the policy is designed to protect?
17	MR. CORRIGAN: Object to form, foundation,
18	legal conclusion.
19	Go ahead.
20	THE WITNESS: No. What I described and
21	what we described together was the primary focus
22	of the privacy.

1	BY MR. BLOCK:
2	Q So it's exclusively privacy interest
3	related to either being seen naked or seeing
4	someone else naked?
5	A Correct.
6	Q So if there's no state of undress
7	involved, then there's no privacy interest for the
8	policy to serve; is that right?
9	MR. CORRIGAN: Object to form.
10	THE WITNESS: If that were to be true,
11	yes, but I don't using the restroom while
12	not I guess depends on how you define the word
13	"undress." There's partial undress when you use a
14	restroom.
15	BY MR. BLOCK:
16	Q So is simply being in the same restroom
17	with someone of a different biological gender an
18	invasion of someone's privacy?
19	A It could be viewed that way. And, again,
20	I say it, the policy is protecting the privacy of
21	all students.
22	Q So the privacy that the policy is designed

1	to protect, is that a privacy from being in the
2	same restroom as someone with a different
3	biological gender?
4	A Yes, it's from having to share a restroom
5	with someone from the opposite physiological sex.
6	Q So when you said that in the restrooms
7	there's a state of partial undress, are you
8	talking about in front of a urinal or in front of
9	a toilet? Is that the partial state of undress
10	you're referring to?
11	A Correct, both.
12	Q Is there any other partial state of
13	undress that you're referring to?
14	A I would say I tuck my shirt in a weird way
15	when I was a kid, so outside of the stall I was in
16	a state of partial undress, so that would be
17	another one that popped into my head.
18	Q You would you would open your pants in
19	order to tuck in your shirt and then button up
20	your pants?
21	A You got it.
22	Q Is that what you're

1	because that person is a transgender boy; is that
2	right?
3	MR. CORRIGAN: Object to form, foundation,
4	speculation, inadequate opinion testimony.
5	Go ahead.
6	THE WITNESS: I'm not sure the
7	hypotheticals are kind of getting me a little
8	flustered.
9	BY MR. BLOCK:
10	Q Sorry. So the policy doesn't provide any
11	protection for a girl who does not want to share a
12	restroom with someone who is a transgender boy,
13	meaning that they were assigned a female sex at
14	birth but live as a boy and have facial hair and
15	a lot of muscles?
16	MR. CORRIGAN: Object to form, foundation.
17	Go ahead.
18	THE WITNESS: Let's take it back since the
19	focus of this is at the high school. Yes, the
20	policy well, the implications of the policy do
21	allow an alternate which is the single-stall
22	restrooms we added, so that's the relief there.

1	So they can be used by anybody. Those
2	single-stall unisex restrooms are available for
3	all students use.
4	BY MR. BLOCK:
5	Q So the girl who is uncomfortable using the
6	girls restroom with a transgender boy has the
7	option of using one of those single-stall
8	restrooms instead; is that right?
9	A Absolutely.
10	Q And so a boy who is uncomfortable using
11	the boys restroom with a transgender girl who has
12	fully developed breasts can use the single-user
13	restrooms instead; is that right?
14	A Correct.
15	Q And those single-user restrooms provide,
16	you know, adequate protection for students in that
17	situation; is that right?
18	MR. CORRIGAN: Object to form, foundation,
19	vague.
20	Go ahead.
21	THE WITNESS: Can you further define
22	"adequate protection"? You walk in, you're the

let me rephrase that question. 1 2 So what if she says that she doesn't want 3 to use the single-stall restroom because that just 4 draws attention to her and it's going to raise 5 questions in people's minds about why she is using 6 a different restroom than everyone else? 7 MR. CORRIGAN: Object to form, foundation, 8 incomplete hypothetical, calls for speculation. 9 Go ahead. 10 THE WITNESS: I don't understand the 11 question. The single-stall restrooms are open to 12 any student at Gloucester High School who wants to 13 use them. It's not just for transgender students. 14 BY MR. BLOCK: What restroom is she supposed to use if 15 16 she's attending a football game and there aren't 17 any single-user restrooms available? 18 Not a scenario I've considered or we 19 considered as a board. 20 So now that you're considering it now 21 under the policy, what restroom should she be 22 using at a football game?

administrative person for the school, consulted 2 with legal counsel, reviewed the documentation provided, and made the decision. 3 4 But the superintendent had authority on 5 behalf of the Board to make that decision; is that 6 right?

A Correct.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So why did Gloucester County Public Schools not update the gender marker on Gavin's school records to update his birth certificate?

MR. CORRIGAN: To the extent the question has anything to do with anything not provided as legal counsel, he can answer.

THE WITNESS: Sure. So that was going to be my first one, input from legal counsel. second was the information provided seemed to be at odds with the process and procedures outlined in Virginia law and the Virginia Administrative Code as far as what an amended birth certificate looks like. And also because the birth certificate provided as part of the request was stamped void, so it was those three reasons that

1	resulted in the denial of the change.
2	BY MR. BLOCK:
3	Q How was the process apparently at odds
4	with Virginia Code and regulations?
5	A I would have to pull out the Code, but my
6	recollection is if you look in the Code, it says
7	that amended birth certificates will have the
8	issue scratched out with the correct one written
9	next to it. And also somewhere on the document
10	the word "amended" is added to it.
11	Q So the Board so the concern is that
12	this could not could be a non authentic birth
13	certificate?
14	A Correct.
15	Q Have you seen the copy of the birth
16	certificate that was filed in this litigation?
17	A I've seen a version in a packet somewhere,
18	yes.
19	Q And does that copy have the same features
20	that you think call into question its
21	authenticity?
22	A I would have to look at it again. It's

1	birth certificate can use the women's restroom; is
2	that right?
3	A Correct.
4	MR. CORRIGAN: Object to form, foundation,
5	legal conclusion.
6	Go ahead.
7	THE WITNESS: Correct.
8	BY MR. BLOCK:
9	Q Even though their bodies are identical?
10	A Going back to what we spent the majority
11	of the morning talking about, it's tied back to
12	the gender marker on their records. So in the
13	hypothetical you just described, one matches and
14	one doesn't.
15	Q Do you know if the photocopy of the birth
16	certificate that was delivered to the school by
17	hand was produced in discovery in this case?
18	A I don't know.
19	Q What governmental interest is served by
20	the Board's refusal to update Gavin's birth
21	certificate?
22	MR. CORRIGAN: Object to form.

1	Go ahead.
2	THE WITNESS: It's our the policy JO is
3	in place to ensure that any changes to a student's
4	educational records are done in accordance with
5	all applicable federal and state laws.
6	BY MR. BLOCK:
7	Q And the Board despite now being aware of
8	the Virginia court order still takes the position
9	that the Gavin's sex was not changed in accordance
10	with Virginia law?
11	MR. CORRIGAN: Object to form, foundation,
12	and legal conclusion.
13	Go ahead.
14	THE WITNESS: I don't recall stating that.
15	We have to bring back in the question is have
16	the changes been made to the gender marker, and
17	the answer is no.
18	And then in addition to the state and
19	federal, there's input from legal counsel.
20	BY MR. BLOCK:
21	Q Does not updating the gender marker on his
22	birth certificate advance any interest in

1.	certificate do that again.
2	Has there ever been a previous request to
3	update the student's gender marker on their school
4	records?
5	A Not to my knowledge.
6	Q Have there been previous requests to
7	update school records based on any type of change
8	to a student's birth certificate?
9	A Not to my knowledge.
10	Q And the only communication given to the
11	Grimms about the reasons for denying their request
12	to update the birth certificate was I keep
13	making that mistake. I'll say it again.
14	The only reason given to the Grimms say
15	it one more time.
16	The only communication to the Grimms
17	giving the reasons why the school did not update
18	his school records was the letter sent by the
19	Board's counsel to me; is that correct?
20	A Correct, to my knowledge.
21	Q So at school, the school board and school
22	administrators refer have honored Gavin's

request to refer to him by his name Gavin; is that 1 2 right? 3 A Correct. And the school administrators also honored 4 5 his request to refer to him with male pronouns; is 6 that right? 7 A Correct. 8 Okay. Now, why have they done this? 9 My understanding is the -- let's start 10 with pronouns because that's not hard. Pronouns aren't a legal change to some sort of student 11 12 There's no student record associated records. 13 with pronoun for the name. My recollection is 1.4 that the name was changed based on the process of 15 the same policy JO. 16 O Does the school board think that it's 17 harmful to refer to Gavin with male pronouns? 18 Harmful to refer to Gavin with male 19 pronouns, no. 20 I'm going to show you a document that's 21 marked -- that the title of is Gloucester County

School Board's Rule 26(a)(2) disclosure.

22

1	CERTIFICATE OF SHORT HAND REPORTER - NOTARY PUBLIC
2	I, Scott D. Gregg, RPR, a Notary Public,
3	the officer before whom the foregoing deposition
4	was taken, do hereby certify that the foregoing
5	transcript is a true and correct record of the
6	testimony given; that said testimony was taken by
7	me stenographically and thereafter reduced to
8	typewriting under my supervision; that reading and
9	signing was requested; and that I am neither
10	counsel for or related to, nor employed by any of
11	the parties to this case and have no interest,
12	financial or otherwise, in its outcome.
13	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
14	hand and affixed my notarial seal this day of
15	2019.
16	My commission expires July 31, 2020.
17	Stott D Guegal GPT
18	
19	
20	NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR THE
21	COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
22	Notary Registration No. 215323